See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347076878

Time Attitude Profiles and Health-Related Behaviors: Validation of a Spanish Version of the Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory-Time Attitudes (AATI-TA)



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

The development of episodic foresight in preschoolers View project

Early child development ans school readiness View project

# Time Attitude Profiles and Health-Related Behaviors: Validation of a Spanish Version of the Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory–Time Attitudes (AATI-TA)

# Alejandro Vásquez-Echeverría<sup>1</sup> , Lucía Álvarez-Núñez<sup>1</sup>, Zena Mello<sup>2</sup>, and Frank C. Worrell<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de la República Uruguay (Uruguay)

<sup>2</sup> San Francisco State University (USA)

<sup>3</sup> University of California Berkeley (USA)

**Abstract.** Temporal psychology constructs are an individual difference variable related to behavioral outcomes. Recent research has shown that there are different time attitude profiles based on different configurations of the six Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory-Time Attitude (AATI-TA) subscales. The objective of this study was to analyze the psychometric properties of AATI-TA scores in Uruguay and determine the existence of temporal profiles in this context. Participants were a convenience sample of 446 (36.5% males) adults in Uruguay with a mean age of 34.53 years (SD = 13.17, range 18–75 years). Participants completed a sociodemographic questionnaire, the AATI-TA, and questionnaires on intentions, behaviors, and attitudes towards healthy food consumption and physical activity. AATI-TA scores had good reliabilities (>.70). The six-factor solution was supported and invariance by gender and age group was established. We identified five profiles – Resilients, High Positives, Negatives, Present Negatives, and Moderate Positives – which were associated differently with healthy food consumption patterns. Negative profiles were related to higher levels of unhealthy food consumption.

Received 17 March 2020; Revised 20 October 2020; Accepted 21 October 2020

Keywords: adults, health-related behaviors, latent profile analysis, time attitudes, time perspective.

In the past two decades, there has been an increase in the research that considers individual differences in temporal psychology constructs as predictors of many outcomes, such as health-related behaviors, educational achievement, and pro-environmental behavior (e.g., Andre et al., 2018). One key concept in this field is time perspective, defined as a multidimensional construct that comprises the thoughts, attitudes, and behaviors towards the three temporal regions of one's life (Zimbardo & Boyd, 1999). Although many researchers have focused on the future time period (e.g., Lang & Carstensen 2002), Zimbardo and Boyd (1999) developed the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZPTI) to assess cognitive, emotional, motivational, and social aspects of the past (past positive, past negative), present (present hedonistic, present fatalistic), and future (focus on planfulness). Another innovation of the ZTPI was its focus on both positively (past positive, present hedonistic, future [planning]) and negatively (past negative, present fatalistic) valenced aspects of time. Since its

Conflicts of Interest:None.

introduction in 1999, the ZTPI has become the most widely used scale in the literature.

However, concerns have been raised about robustness of ZTPI scores. In early studies, some ZPTI subscale scores have yielded low internal consistency estimates (e.g., Keough et al., 1999; Zimbardo et al., 1997), and the hypothesized five-factor structure has not yielded acceptable fit indices (e.g., Zimbardo et al., 1997). Moreover, these types of psychometric issues have been found with regard to scores on multiple versions of the ZTPI for the past two decades (e.g., McKay, Worrell, et al., 2015; Milfont et al., 2008; Sircova et al., 2014; Temple et al., 2019; Mello & Worrell, 2007; Worrell, Temple, et al., 2018). Thus, although research with the ZTPI has indicated that time perspective is related to a range of psychological constructs and adaptive behaviors (e.g., McKay et al., 2014; McKay, Perry, et al., 2018), these findings can be questioned on the basis of the robustness of ZTPI scores.

Vásquez-Echeverría, A., Álvarez-Núñez, L., Mello, Z., & Worrell, F. C. (2020). Time attitude profiles and health-related behaviors: Validation of a spanish version of the adolescent and adult time inventory–time attitudes (aati-ta). *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 23. e51. Doi:10.1017/SJP.2020.51

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alejandro Vásquez-Echeverría. Universidad de la República Uruguay. Facultad de Psicología. Instituto de Fundamentos y Métodos en Psicología. Tristán Narvaja, 1674. Montevideo (Uruguay).

E-mail: avasquez@psico.edu.uy.

**Funding Statement:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

How to cite this article:

## 2 A. Vásquez-Echeverría et al.

The psychometric issues with ZTPI scores may be the result of (a) measuring multiple aspects of time (e.g., attitudes, behaviors, cognitions) on the same subscale, (b) including constructs other than time (e.g., hedonism, planning) on several subscales, or (c) both of these concerns. Nonetheless, the publication of the ZTPI had a profound impact on the time perspective literature and contributed to the development of several other instruments assessing all three time periods. One of these new instruments was the Adolescent Time Inventory (Mello & Worrell, 2007), which assesses time attitudes, the construct used in this study. The goals of the present study were (a) to assess the psychometric properties of time attitude scores and (b) to assess the association between time attitude scores and health related behaviors in a sample of Uruguavan adults.

# *The Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory – Time Attitudes Scale*

The Adolescent Time Inventory (Mello & Worrell, 2007) is a measure that assesses five aspects of time perspective: Time meaning (how do individuals define the past, present, and future), time frequency (how often do individuals think about the three time periods), time relation (how do individuals think about the relationship among the three time periods), time orientation (which time period or periods is most salient to an individual), and time attitudes (what are individuals' attitudes toward the three time periods). Time attitudes are the only aspect of the Adolescent Time Inventory that are conceived of as latent variables, and they are similar to time perspective as assessed by the ZTPI, including having scales with both positive and negative valence. In developing the Adolescent Time Inventory-Time Attitudes Scale (ATI-TA; Mello & Worrell, 2007), the authors tried to avoid the psychometric problems of the ZTPI (e.g., Zimbardo et al., 1997) by using items that assessed attitudes only and items only focusing on time (see Worrell et al., 2013). Originally developed to measure time attitudes in adolescents, ATI-TA scores were subsequently validated in adult samples (Cole et al., 2017; Mello et al., 2016) and the instrument was renamed the Adolescent and Adult Time Attitude Scale (AATI-TA). The AATI-TA assesses negative and positive attitudes toward the past, present, and future: Past Positive, Past Negative, Present Positive, Present Negative, Future Positive, and Future Negative.

The multi-stage development process used to develop the AATI-TA is documented in Worrell et al. (2013), where the English and German versions of the scale are introduced. The process began with over 200 items, which were eventually reduced to 53, 49, and ultimately 30 items – five items on each of the six subscales – based on theory and psychometric analyses.

There is strong evidence in support of AATI-TA scores. Internal consistency estimates  $\geq$  .70 have been consistently reported for five of the six AATI-TA scores, with future negative scores having lower estimates in some samples (Worrell et al., 2020). Additionally, evidence in support of the hypothesized six factor structure has been reported for samples from the United States (Mello et al., 2016; Worrell et al., 2013), Germany (Buhl & Lindner, 2009; Worrell et al., 2013), New Zealand (Alansari et al., 2013), the United Kingdom (Cole et al., 2017; McKay, Cole, et al., 2015), Turkey (Çelik et al., 2017; Şahin-Baltaci et al., 2017), Albania (Worrell et al., 2020), Nigeria (Mello et al., 2019), Italy (Donati et al., 2019; Worrell et al., 2020), and Japan (Chishima et al., 2019).

Unlike the ZTPI, the AATI-TA includes a future negative scale, which has been the most psychometrically challenging, with future negative scores raising concerns similar to those raised with some ZTPI scores. For example, future negative scores have yielded very low reliability estimates in Turkey, Albania, Italy, and Nigeria, but not in the US, Germany, New Zealand, Japan, and the UK. Scores on AATI-TA short versions (i.e., 24 items) used in Germany (Buhl & Lindner, 2009), Slovenia (Juriševič et al., 2017), and Spain (Konowalczyk et al., 2018) have yielded acceptable to close fit with most factor coefficients > .50 across studies and acceptable to strong internal consistency estimates, including for future negative ( $\alpha \ge .75$ ) scores.

Scores on the six-factor structure have shown scalar invariance between younger and older adolescents in Spain on the short version (Konowalczyk et al., 2018), between adolescents and young adults in Italy (Donati et al., 2019) using the full 30-item scale, and between young and middle-aged adults in the US for five scales (excluding future negative; Mello et al., 2016). The sixfactor have also been shown scalar invariance by gender (Konowalczyk et al., 2018; Worrell, McKay, et al., 2018) and configural invariance over a 2-year period (Worrell, McKay, et al., 2018). In short, reliability and structural validity evidence for the six AATI-TA subscale scores is generally strong to date, with less support for the future negative scores. Convergent validity evidence is reported in the next section, and as with scales of this type, establishing validity for scores is an ongoing endeavor (American Educational Research Association [AERA], American Psychological Association [APA], and National Council on Measurement in Education [NCME], 2014, 2018).

## Person-Centered Analyses

In addition to introducing the ZTPI, Zimbardo and Boyd (1999) recommended another change – advocating for "temporal profiles (p. 1273) – which has had important implications for the field of temporal psychology. Indeed, one goal of having an instrument that assessed all three time periods was to "profit from the use of combined 'profile patterns' [i.e., person-centered analyses] of the five ZTPI factors instead of independent examination of ZTPI subscales [i.e., variable-centered analyses]" (Zimbardo & Boyd, 1999, p. 1284). Support for the utility of ZTPI profiles was provided in a study by McKay et al. (2014). Using a sample-specific short version of the ZTPI, McKay et al. (2014) identified four profiles – Balanced, Future, Past Negative, and Present Hedonistic – and found that adolescents in the Balanced and Future profiles were more likely to be abstainers and adolescents in the Past Negative and Present Hedonistic profiles were more likely to be problematic drinkers.

Researchers using the AATI-TA have also used both person-centered and variable-centered analyses. AATI-TA scores have been found to be associated with self-esteem, perceived stress, perspective-taking, and self-efficacy (Andretta et al., 2014; Buhl & Lindner, 2009; Cole et al., 2017) and several other constructs, providing evidence of convergent validity (Worrell & Mello, 2009). Research also shows that time attitude profiles are also predictive of outcomes. For instance, Buhl and Lindner (2009) applied latent profile analysis to the short version of AATI-TA scores and identified six temporal profiles in a sample of German adolescents. These profiles were associated with self-efficacy, perspective taking, and trust in schools, with positive profiles reporting higher scores on these variables than negative profiles. Similar time attitude profiles have been identified in the US, the UK, and New Zealand, and the research indicates that time attitude profiles are stronger and more reliable predictors of a variety of outcomes (educational constructs, cultural constructs, psychological constructs) than individual time attitude scores (e.g., Worrell & Andretta, 2019). Two objectives of the current study were to see (a) if interpretable time attitude profiles would be found in a sample of Uruguayan adult participants and (b) if these profiles would be related to differences in health behaviors.

#### Physical Exercise and Eating Behaviors

Since prevention of some chronic pathologies depends on behavioral change, temporal psychology constructs may play a key role in design of interventions and framing of public campaigns. Temporal psychology constructs have been documented to be related to health protective behaviors in many studies (Andre et al., 2018; Joireman et al., 2012; Murphy & Dockray, 2018), as health protective behaviors often posit a conflict between present and future rewards. Time attitudes have been found to be associated with perceived stress, hopelessness, anxiety, depression, alcohol use, and the agentic aspect of hope (Andretta et al., 2014; Buhl & Lindner, 2009; McKay, Perry et al., 2018; Worrell & Andretta, 2019). Whereas time attitude scores have yielded near-zero correlations with exercise, smoking, cannabis use, and visits to the dentist, time attitude *profiles* are associated with all of these behaviors (McKay, Andretta, et al., 2018). Konowalczyk et al. (2018) also found that time attitude scores were modestly associated with being a member of a sports clubs, sports significance, and self-concept related to physical ability and physical appearance.

To the best of our knowledge, no one has explored the association between time attitudes and attitudes toward healthy food consumption, and only McKay, Andretta, et al. (2018) have looked at time attitudes and physical exercise. We were also interested in analyzing *intentions* to eat healthy and engage in physical exercise as well as the actual behaviors within the framework of planned action theory. Although attitudes are an important determinant of behavioral intention and actual behavior, these three constructs are not interchangeable (Ajzen, 2005). We expected that time attitudes would have stronger correlations with attitudes toward health and healthy food consumption than with intentions and behaviors (see Andre et al., 2018, for a meta-analysis).

#### The Current Study

The current study is the first examination of AATI-TA scores in a Uruguayan sample, with the aim of assessing internal consistency evidence, structural validity evidence, and convergent validity evidence (AERA, APA, & NCME, 2018). We were also interested in seeing if interpretable time attitude profiles, found in other studies using the AATI-TA, would be found in Uruguay. In sum, we asked three questions in this study. First, we examined the internal consistency and structural validity of AATI-TA scores translated into Rio de la Plata Spanish in a sample of Uruguayan adults who were diverse in age and educational attainment. We hypothesized that AATI-TA scores would be reliable and the theorized 6-factor structure would yield acceptable fit estimates. We also hypothesized that the scores would be invariant across gender and developmental period as reported in Italy (Donati et al., 2019) and Spain (Konowalczyk et al., 2018).

Second, we assessed the existence of interpretable latent temporal profiles in this sample, as well as their similarities to profiles found in other samples. We hypothesized that we would find several profiles, with some with some being more adaptive than others with higher scores on the positive than the negative attitudes. Lastly, we explored how time attitude scores and time attitude profiles were related to healthy food consumption and physical exercise attitudes, intentions, and behaviors. Although we speculated that positive scores and profiles would be associated with healthier attitudes and behaviors, we did not make specific hypotheses as this question has not yet been addressed in the literature.

#### Method

#### Participants and Procedures

Participants consisted of 446 (36.5 % males; n = 163) adults in Uruguay with a mean age of 34.53 years (SD = 13.17, range 18-75 years) who completed the ATTI-TA. Two hundred and ten participants were young adults (aged 18-29 years) and 236 were middle or older adults (aged 30 or more); two participants did not report their age. A subsample of 328 adults (34.8% males;  $M_{age} = 33.97$  years, SD = 13.32, range 18–75 years) also completed physical activity and healthy eating questionnaires. Participants were a convenience sample recruited by the researchers and their students in Montevideo and the metropolitan area of Uruguay. For the full sample, in terms of education, 13.5% had not completed high school education, 16.8% had a high school diploma, 39% were university students, 18.4% were university graduates, 5.1% had completed or were in advanced graduate studies, 5.6% had other tertiary education, and 1.6% did not report their educational level. Participants signed an informed consent in a manner that was approved by the local university's institutional research review board and they completed the measures in a paper-and-pencil format during classes or at home.

#### Measures

In addition to self-reporting information on age, sex, and educational level, participants also completed questionnaires on time attitudes, health behaviors, and eating behaviors.

# Time Attitudes

As noted in the Introduction, the AATI-TA consists of six subscales that assess positive and negative attitudes toward the past (Past Positive, Past Negative), present (Present Positive, Present Negative), and future (Future Positive, Future Negative). The instrument has been translated into several different languages, including Spanish, and the Spanish version has been used in other studies (Konowalczyk et al., 2018). After looking the Spanish version of the AATI-TA (see Mello et al., 2010), the authors decided to start with the English version of the scale (Mello & Worrell, 2007) and do a translation to the Spanish dialect of the Rio de la Plata, that is used mostly in Uruguay and the Buenos Aires region of Argentina. A forward-translation/backtranslation procedure was done beginning with the original English scale. Four English-language professionals contributed to these process (two for translations from English to the Spanish dialect of the Rio de La Plata and two for back translation). Discrepancies were resolved by discussion. Two cognitive interviews were also carried out to ensure comprehensibility by the intended sample, yielding excellent results for the version approved by the translators. The items for the final version used in this study (Mello et al., 2018) are presented as Electronic Supplementary Material.. AATI-TA items are scored on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *totally disagree*; 5 = *totally agree*).

Also as noted in the Introduction, there is considerable, supportive psychometric evidence for AATI-TA scores, suggesting that the scale could be useful in Uruguay. There is strong support for the six-factor structure (Worrell et al., 2013; Worrell, McKay, et al., 2018), and evidence of convergent validity (Alansari et al., 2013; Andretta et al., 2013; Buhl & Lindner, 2009; Chishima et al., 2019; Worrell & Mello, 2009). The subscale scores have generally been found to be internally consistent (Worrell, McKay et al., 2018), with some exceptions for Future Negative scores (e.g., Şahin-Baltaci et al., 2017). AATI-TA scores have demonstrated scalar invariance across males and females, younger and older adolescents, and adolescents and young adults (Donati et al., 2019; Konowalczyk et al., 2018).

## Health Behaviors

We used questionnaires about physical activity with questions related to attitudes, intentions, and actions from Craig et al. (2003) and Joireman et al. (2012). Physical activity attitudes were assessed by averaging three questions scored on a Likert scale of five values (1 = Strongly *disagree*; 5 = *Strongly agree*): "Regular physical activity is essential for good health," "Regular physical activity makes me feel better," and "I enjoy physical activity." The mean intercorrelation among these items was r = .61. Physical activity intentions were assessed with one question: "During the next week, how many times do you plan on doing exercises?" The question had eight response options  $(0 = no \ days, 7 = every \ day \ of \ the \ week)$ . Physical activity actions were also assessed with a single question and the same eight response options ( $0 = no \, day$ , 7 = everyday of the week): "In the last 7 days, how many days have you engaged in physical activity for a total of 60 minutes per day?" As can be seen, all of the variables were coded such that higher values reflected more positive attitudes and healthier attitudes intentions, and behaviors.

## Eating Behaviors

We used survey questions related to attitudes, intentions, and actions related to healthy food consumption from Joireman et al. (2012) and van Beek et al. (2013). Attitudes were assessed with two questions using a 5-point Likert format (1 =*Totally disagree*; 5 = *Totally agree*): "Healthy eating is essential for my health and well-being" and "I enjoy meals." Behavioral intention was assessed with a single question with 10 response options ranging from 1 (*Very unhealthy*) to 10 (*Very healthy*): "Consider the foods you will eat in the next week and evaluate how healthy you think they will be." We assessed the actions related to healthy food consumption using three questions with five response options (0 = *Never*, 4 = *Once per day*): "How often do you eat fruits," "How often do you eat vegetables," and "How often do you drink sugary drinks (e.g., soda)?"

#### Data Analysis

In this study, SPSS was used to calculate descriptive statistics, and missing values were imputed through the expectation maximization procedure for these analyses. MPlus 8.1 was used to examine the factor structure and factorial invariance and to create latent profiles. For the analyses using Mplus, we used the original data file and missing values were estimated using the full information maximum likelihood procedure. One participant was excluded from analyses due to a repetitive pattern of answers (i.e., giving the same response 25 times on the AATI-TA). No multivariate outliers were identified (using Mahalanobis distance procedure excluding participants with p < .001), and Item 9 on the AATI-TA (see Appendix) had the highest frequency of missing values (2.7%). Although the amount of missing data was low, using robust imputation techniques does not bias scores and is considered better practice than listwise elimination of participants with missing data, which can sometimes yield biased estimates (Graham, 2009).

We used confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to examine model fit of the factor solution of the AATI-TA. All CFA models were analyzed using Mplus 8.1 (Muthén & Muthén, 2017) and fitted using the weighted least squares robust (WLMSV) estimator recommended for use with ordinal data (Svetina et al., 2020). Goodness of fit was evaluated with the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). As has been done with previous studies of the AATI-TA, we considered values  $\geq$  .90 for the CFI and TLI as acceptable and  $\geq$  .95 as excellent. For the RMSEA, values  $\leq$  .08 were considered acceptable and values  $\leq .05$  were considered excellent (Marsh et al., 2004). Measurement invariance was evaluated by age and sex; in these analyses, we used the same criteria to evaluate fit. To assess if constraints worsened model fit, we used  $\Delta$ CFI, where the most restrictive model should not reduce the CFI value by more than .002. (Meade et al., 2008) or as a minimum, .01 (Cheung & Rensvold, 2002).

Latent profile analysis (LPA) was conducted to explore the existence of time attitude profiles. LPA is a categorical latent variable approach that tries to identify hidden groups within a population based on a certain set of variables, in this case, ATTI-TA subscales scores. This analysis used Mplus (maximum-likelihood robust estimator). Models estimating two-profile to sevenprofile solutions were compared. Better fit indices were determined by lower values in Akaike information criteria (AIC), Bayesian information criteria (BIC) and sample-size adjusted BIC (aBIC) but higher Entropy (<.80). Fit was also evaluated using the p value of Vuong-Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio test (VLMR), the Lo-Mendell-Rubin test (LMR), and bootstrapped loglikelihood ratio tests (BLRT) where non-significant results signal that k - 1 profile solution is more parsimonious. We also considered the theoretical relevance of each latent profile (Jung & Wickrama, 2008; Muthén & Muthén, 2017).

Interpretations of correlations and group differences were based on effect sizes rather than statistical significance, using criteria suggested by Ferguson (2009). According to Ferguson, correlations of .20 represent an effect size of minimal practical significance, .50 a medium effect size, and .80 a strong effect size; equivalent values for  $r^2$  are .04 .25, and .64, respectively. With regard to group differences, the comparable values for Hedges *g* are .41, 1.15, and 2.70, respectively.

## Results

#### Preliminary Analyses

Table 1 contains descriptive statistics for the six AATI-TA subscale scores and intercorrelations among the scores. In keeping with the extant literature, means for the positive subscales were higher than means for the negative subscales. Also as expected, correlations between positive and negative subscales were negative and moderate to strong and between subscales of the same valence were positive and moderate. Internal consistency coefficients (alphas and omegas) are also presented in Table 1. Alpha coefficients based on the raw scores ranged from .70 to .84, and omega coefficients based on the factor coefficients from the six-factor model in Table 2 ranged from .71 to .85. The lowest estimates were for scores on the future negative subscale. All other internal consistency estimates were  $\geq$  .79.

In keeping with prior examinations of the factor structure of AATI-TA scores, three models were examined. The first model consisted of two factors based on valence, with all of the positive items on one factor and all of the negative items on the other factor. Model 2 was based on time period, with the three factors consisting of past, present, and future items. The final

	M (SD)	α [95% CI]	$\omega^{h}$	2	3	4	5	6
1. Past P.	3.93 (0.86)	.84 [.81, .86]	.84	61*	.31*	28*	.31*	30
2. Past N.	2.03 (0.93)	.82 [.80, .85]	.83	-	31*	$.44^{*}$	29*	.43
3. Present P.	4.05 (0.81)	.84 [.82, .87]	.85		-	74*	$.55^{*}$	42
4. Present N.	2.12 (0.90)	.79 [.75, .82]	.79			-	46*	.45
5. Future P.	4.07 (0.76)	.82 [.79, .85]	.82				-	59
6. Future N.	1.70 (0.73)	.70 [.66, .75]	.71					-

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for AATI-TA Subscales

*Note.* P. = Positive; N. = Negative; SD = Standard Deviation;  $\omega^{h}$  = hierarchical omegas were computed using the coefficients of the six-factor model.

\**p* < .01.

Table 2. Fit Indices Using WLMSV Estimator for Time Attitude Scores

Models	$\chi^2$	df	CFI	TLI	RMSEA [90% CI]
2 factors (valence)	3240.36 <sup>*</sup>	404	.787	.771	.125 [.121,.129]
3 factors (temporal)	1131.06 <sup>*</sup>	402	.945	.941	.064 [.059, .068]
6 factors (theory)	766.39 <sup>*</sup>	390	.971	.968	.047 [.042, .051]

\*p < .001.

model examined was the hypothesized six-factor model. The fit statistics for the three models are presented in Table 2. The two-factor solution yielded unacceptable fit. The three-factor model (grouping items by temporal period) showed improvements in model fit, with two fit indices in the acceptable range and one in the excellent range. The three fit indices for the theorized six-factor model were all in the excellent range. Given these findings, which matched the theory and results of previous studies, this model was chosen for further analysis. The model with factor coefficients and residual variances is presented in Figure 1.

We then conducted invariance analyses on the sixfactor model in Table 3, we present the results of measurement invariance by sex and age, although these groups were smaller than ideal for this type of analysis. Following the recommendations for measurement invariance analyses, with ordered categorical data (Svetina et al., 2020; Wu & Estabrook, 2016), we tested for configural/threshold invariance, threshold and loading invariance, and threshold, loading, and intercept invariance, in that order. As can be seen in the table, model fit did not decrease substantially with increasing constraints, supporting intercept invariance.

#### Latent Profile Analyses

Latent profile analyses of AATI-TA scores were done with factor scores saved from the six-factor model. Model fit indices from the three to seven latent profile solutions are presented in Table 4. As can be seen, there was not a clearly acceptable solution based solely on fit indices, as the 3-, 4-, and 5-profile solutions could be considered good. We selected the 5-profile solution for interpretation based on the fit indices, parsimony, and theoretical considerations, such as content, size, and plausibility of the profiles generated (Jung & Wickrama, 2008). For example, the 3-profile solution was very broad, grouping just positives, negatives and intermediates, and the 4-profile solution was very similar to the 5-profile solution, but with no distinction between the High Positives and Moderate Positives. The five-profile model has, comparatively, good fit indices and parallels previous findings in the literature using time attitudes scores (Morgan et al., 2017). The five profiles, presented in Figure 2, are described below.

Individuals in Profile 1 reported a negative evaluation of the past and positive evaluations of the present and future. Both their present positive and future positive attitudes were high, whereas future negative attitudes were substantially lower than past and present negative attitudes. This group, which made up 10.4% of the sample, was labelled Resilients. Profile 2, called High Positives, had scores on the three time positive attitudes subscales substantially above the mean and scores on the three negative time attitudes substantially below the mean. This group was the smallest with 8.8% of the sample. The third profile constituted 20.8% of the sample. Labelled Negatives, this profile was the inverse to the Positives profile, and characterized by substantially above average scores on the negative time attitudes and substantially below average scores on the positive



Figure 1. Factor loadings and residual variances for the six-factor solution.

Table 3. Invariance Analyses (WLMSV) for Time Attitude Scores

Model	$\chi^2$ s-b	df	CFI	TLI	RMSEA [90 % CI]	MC	ΔCFI
Six factor- age							
1. Configural	$1210.99^{*}$	780	.966	.962	.050 [.044,055]		
2. T & L	$1289.65^{*}$	864	.966	.966	.047 [.042, .053]	2-1	.000
3. T, L & I.	$1300.99^{*}$	888	.967	.968	.046 [.040, .051]	3–2	.001
Six factor - sex							
1. Configural	$1182.51^{*}$	780	.968	.964	.049 [.043, .054]		
2. T & L	$1256.97^{*}$	864	.969	.969	.046 [.040, .051]	2-1	.001
3. T, L & I.	$1254.53^{*}$	888	.971	.972	.043 [.038, .049]	3–2	.002

*Note.* MC = Models compared; T = Thresholds; L = Loading; I = Intercept. \*p < .01.

attitudes. Participants in the fourth profile, labelled *Present Negatives*, represents people with an ambivalent evaluation of the past and future and a negative evaluation of the present; at 34.5% of the sample, this group was the largest. The fifth group was called *Moderate Positives* (25.5%); their scores are conceptually analogous to the *High Positives*, but with scores closer to the mean. There were no significant profile differences in

terms of education, sex, or age. Descriptive statistics for the five time attitude latent profiles are presented in Table 5.

# Correlations with Outcome Measures

We examined the associations between AATI-TA subscale factor scores and several physical and healthy food

Table 4. Model Summaries for Three to Seven Latent Profiles Solutions

Model	AIC	BIC	aBIC	VLMR <i>p</i>	aLMR p	BLRT p	Entropy	No. of free parameters
3- Profile	5615.43	5722.04	5639.53	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.896	26
4- Profile	5384.28	5519.59	5414.87	.015	.016	<.001	0.879	33
5- Profile	5266.48	5430.49	5303.55	.027	.029	<.001	0.884	40
6- Profile	5154.94	5347.65	5198.49	.408	.416	<.001	0.910	47
7- Profile	5055.01	5276.43	5105.06	.471	.475	<.001	0.891	54

*Notes*. AIC = Akaike information criteria; BIC = Bayesian information criteria; a = adjusted; VLMR = Vuong–Lo–Mendell–Rubin likelihood ratio test; LMR = Lo, Mendell, and Rubin test; BLRT = bootstrapped log-likelihood ratio tests.



Figure 2. Latent Profiles Found in Current Study.

consumption variables. These results are presented in Table 6, and correlations  $\geq$  .20 are interpreted (Ferguson, 2009). Healthy attitudes toward physical activity correlated positively with Present Positive and Future Positive scores, with the correlations in keeping with theory; that is, future positive scores were positively correlated with these healthy attitudes toward physical activity and future negative scores were inversely correlated with these attitudes. The pattern of correlations between healthy attitudes toward physical activity and past and present time attitudes was similar, but these correlations were neither statistically nor practically significant. No statistically significant correlations were observed between the six AATI-TA subscale scores and physical activity intentions or actions; indeed, these correlations were close to zero.

There were no statistically significant or meaningful correlations between time attitudes and healthy food consumption. However, positive dimensions of present and future of AATI-TA correlated positively with enjoying meals, and the negative dimensions were negatively associated with this variable; although the correlation with future negative was below the threshold for interpretation.

Correlations between time attitudes and fruit consumption were generally close to zero (rs |.14|) as were correlations between time attitudes and drinking sugary drinks (rs .03). However, associations of time attitudes to vegetable consumption were statistically significant, although still relatively modest (|.15| < r< |.20|), and only the correlations for the present attitudes met Ferguson's (2009) criterion for minimum

	1	2	3	4	5
	Resilients	High Positives	Negatives	Present Negatives	Moderate Positives
	n = 47 (10,4%)	<i>n</i> = 39 (8,8%)	<i>n</i> = 93 (20,8%)	n = 153, (34,5%)	n = 114 (25,5%)
Age in years	35.34	37.18	36.86	32.64	33.91
% of men	26.1	35.9	38.0	40.5	35.4
Factor scores					
Past P.	82	1.31	88	04	.56
Past N.	.68	-1.38	.95	.06	67
Present P.	.74	1.59	-1.12	39	.59
Present N.	63	-1.60	1.13	.40	65
Future P.	.52	1.39	-1.08	17	.35
Future N.	24	-1.42	1.12	.14	46
Physical activity					
Attitudes	4.49 (.48)	4.67 (.48)	4.14 (.90)	4.42 (.68)	4.49 (.64)
Intentions	2.66 (1.81)	2.83 (2.36)	2.64 (2.08)	2.64 (2.06)	2.53 (1.83)
Action	1.88 (2.01)	2.43 (2.30)	2.02 (1.84)	2.19 (2.00)	2.20 (1.85)
Eating behavior					
Attitude-HFC	4.45 (.71)	4.29 (1.04)	4.27 (.85)	4.48 (.73)	4.36 (.78)
Attitude-Enjoy meals	4.73 (.52)	4.61 (.84)	4.22 (.89)	4.51 (.71)	4.72 (.51)
Intentions	6,85 (1.66)	6.94 (2.02)	6.29 (1.80)	6.78 (1.72)	6.86 (1.62)
Action-Fruits	3.33 (.96)	3.30 (1.06)	3.11 (1.03)	3.16 (1.07)	3.24 (.95)
Action-Vegetables	3.64 (.60)	3.32 (.83)	2.83 (1.02)	3.31 (.83)	3.51 (.78)
Action-Sugary drinks	1.85 (1.23)	2.00 (1.17)	1.86 (1.13)	2.04 (1.15)	1.85 (1.13)

Table 5. Means for Time Attitude Factor Scores and Outcome Variables by Latent Profile

Note. Standard deviations are offered between parentheses. P = Positive; N = Negative; HFC = Healthy food consumption.

AATI-TA	Ι	Physical activity			Eating behavior						
	Attitudes	Intentions	Action	Attitudes		Intentions	Action				
				HFC	EM		F	V	SD		
Past P.	.11	.01	.02	.04	.16	.12	.14	.15*	.01		
Past N.	12	.00	04	01	12	11	11	17*	.03		
Present P.	.17*	02	.05	.04	.23*	.15	.09	.20*	.00		
Present N.	16*	.01	07	02	<b>20</b> <sup>*</sup>	14	08	20*	.03		
Future P.	.21*	02	01	.05	.26*	.14	01	.19*	.00		
Future N.	<b>20</b> <sup>*</sup>	.02	.01	02	19*	14	.00	19*	.03		

**Table 6.** Correlations between TAS Subscale Factor Scores and Outcome Measures

*Note.* AATI-TA = Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory–Time Attitudes; P = Positive; N = Negative; HFC = Healthy food consumption; EM = Enjoy meals; F = Fruits; V = Vegetables; SD = Sugary Drinks. Bolded coefficients meet Ferguson's (2009) minimal effect size for interpretation.

\*p < .01.

practical significance (i.e., |.20|). All of these correlations were in the theoretically congruent directions.

## **Differences among Profiles**

In the final set of analyses, we ran ANOVAs to examine if individuals with different temporal profiles differed in their intentions, attitudes, and behaviors towards physical exercise and healthy eating. Based on the previous literature on profiles, we hypothesized that individuals with the Positive profiles (High Positives, Moderate Positives, Resilients) would report more adaptive outcomes (i.e., endorsing healthy attitudes, intentions, and behaviors) and those with negative profiles (Negatives, Present Negatives) would report the least adaptive outcomes. Moreover, we hypothesized that the High Positives would report the most adaptive outcomes and the Negatives would report the least adaptive outcomes.

# Physical Activity

Profile means and standard deviations on the outcome variables are presented in Table 5. The hypotheses with regard to physical activity were only partially supported. Positives reported the highest scores with regard to physical activity attitudes, intentions, and actions, but Negatives only had the lowest scores with regard to attitudes. Indeed, attitudes toward physical activity showed a main effect, F(4, 318) = 3.77, p < .01. In keeping with best practice, post hoc comparisons were conducted using Hedges g, an effect size measure (Ferguson, 2009). High Positives (g = 0.66), Moderate Positives, (g = 0.45), and Resilients (g = 0.44) reported meaningfully (i.e.,  $g \ge .41$ ) healthier attitudes toward physical exercise than Negatives. No other comparisons on attitudes toward physical activity met the 0.41 threshold. High Positives reported healthier attitudes toward physical activity than Present Negatives (g =0.39), Resilients (g = 0.37) and Moderate Positives (g =0.30), and Present Negatives (g = 0.36) also reported healthier attitudes toward physical activity than Negatives. Profile differences in intention to do physical exercise, F(4, 319) = 0.13, p > .05, as well as for action or engaging in physical exercise, F(4, 321) 0.42, p > .05, were both non-significant, with differences between the groups also having small effect sizes (gs < 0.25).

## Healthy Food Consumption

The hypotheses with regard to eating behaviors were also only partially supported. Negatives had the lowest scores on five of the six eating behaviors variables, and the three positive profiles had higher means than the two negative profiles on four variables; attitudes toward healthy food consumption and consuming sugary drinks were the exceptions. However, for several of these variables, there were no statistically or practically significant differences among profiles. Attitudes toward healthy food consumption did not differ significantly among profiles (p > .05, g < 0.18), but enjoyment of meals differed significantly among profiles, F(4, 319) = 5.45, p < .001. High Positives (g = 0.44), Moderate Positives, (g= 0.71), and Resilients (g = 0.65) reported meaningfully higher scores on enjoying meals than did Negatives; Present Negatives (g = 0.37) also reported enjoying meals more than Negatives with a lower effect size. All other comparisons on this variable yielded effect sizes less than 0.34.

Intentions showed non-significant effects, F(4, 319) = 1.36, p > .05, although Positives and Negatives were the farthest apart, g = 0.35; all other differences on intention were low, gs < 0.34. The ANOVAs for differences in fruit consumption, F(4, 318) = 0.41, p > .05, gs < 0.22, and sugary drinks, F(4, 317) = 0.41, p > .05, gs < 0.17, were also neither statistically nor practically significant.

However, we found a main effect of temporal profile for vegetable consumption, F(4, 322) = 7.57, p < .001, with Resilients and Moderate Positives, who did not differ meaningfully (g = 0.18), reporting the highest scores in this category; High Positives had the third highest score. Resilients reported eating meaningfully more vegetables than Negatives (g = 0.89), High Positives (g = 0.45), and Present Negatives (g = 0.42). Moderate Positives (g = 0.76), High Positives, (g = 0.50), and Present Negatives (g = 0.53) also reported eating meaningfully more vegetables than Negatives.

# Discussion

The objectives of this article were (a) to determine the initial psychometric properties of AATI-TA scores translated into Rio de la Plata Spanish in a sample of Uruguayans, (b) to examine the correlations of time attitudes to physical activity and healthy food consumption, and (c) to identify temporal profiles and explore how these profiles relate to attitudes, intentions, and behaviors related to physical activity and healthy food consumption. The six AATI-TA scores had adequate to good internal consistency values and the scale's six-factor structure was supported in this sample. Evidence for strong invariance of the model between males and between young and middle-aged adults was also supportive. A few time attitude subscales had minimally interpretable correlations with attitudes toward physical activity, enjoyment of meals, and eating vegetables. Five interpretable time attitude profiles were found in this sample, and several meaningful differences among profiles were found on attitudes toward physical activity, enjoyment of meals and eating vegetables. Profiles did not differ meaningfully with regard to intentions to engage or actually engaging in physical activity, nor with regard to attitudes toward healthy food consumption, intentions to eat healthy foods and fruits, nor consuming sugary drinks. These findings are discussed below.

## **Psychometric Properties of AATI-TA Scores**

The internal consistency estimates in the current study generally mirror results from other studies in the literature and all had acceptable-to-good reliability estimates, with future negative scores just above the acceptable threshold. Suboptimal reliabilities for future negative scores have been reported in a few studies (e.g., McKay, Cole et al., 2015). However, in a study using Peruvian Spanish, future negative scores had an alpha estimate of .74 (Worrell, Merino Soto, et al., 2018), and future negative scores obtained an alpha estimate of .85 in a sample of adolescents in Spain (Konowalczyk et al., 2018). Thus, although it seems as if the future negative construct may be harder to measure, the extant data suggest that it can be measured reliably in many contexts and samples. Researchers using the AATI-TA should always examine the internal consistency of the subscale scores to ensure that they are working consistently.

The six-factor solution yielded better fit to the data than the competing models based on valence and time periods. This finding replicates results reported in other studies differing in language and culture (e.g., Alansari et al., 2013; Buhl & Lindner, 2009; Celik et al., 2017; Chishima et al., 2019; Cole et la., 2017; Donati et al., 2019; Juriševič et al., 2017; Konowalczyk et al., 2018; McKay, Cole, et al., 2015; Mello et al., 2016, 2019; Şahin-Baltaci et al., 2017; Worrell et al., 2013, 2020). The strength of coefficients is another highlight of the measure, as all but three items had coefficients higher than .65 on their latent variable. Invariance by gender and age was supported as model fit was not reduced after models with more restrictions were tested. In sum, this version of the AATI-TA is comparable across sexes and younger and middle adults.

# AATI-TA Score Associations and Profiles

The strength of correlations between the AATI-TA subscale scores and the outcome variables were generally small, and differed by the intentions, attitudes, and actions with regard to physical activity and eating behavior. Statistically significant correlations were found between time attitudes one the one hand and attitudes toward physical activity and enjoyment of meals on the other, but these associations were generally not practically significant. Correlations between time attitudes and intentions and behavior were even smaller. This pattern resembles that found by Andre et al. (2018) where metaanalytic effect sizes of temporal psychology constructs were higher for associations with health-related attitudes than with intentions or actions. The correlational findings suggest that time attitude scores are not meaningfully related to physical activity or healthy food consumption.

However, we also found latent temporal profiles in this study, an important recent line of research in temporal psychology, replicating some of the profiles found with AATI-TA scores in other languages. We found latent profiles representing positives and negatives as in the Morgan et al. (2017) and Cole et al. (2017) studies, but we also found a couple profiles not yet described in the literature. One new profile, which we labelled Resilients, has some similarities to the Ambivalent profile found previously (Morgan et al., 2017) and shows how individuals may overcome negatives attitude to the past and have positive attitudes to the present and future. Two versions of the Positives profile – High Positives and Moderate Positives – found in many previous studies emerged in this sample as well. The differences in profiles may be due to sample differences in age or cultural context, or may reflect profiles that have just not yet been found.

Furthermore, there were meaningful differences among a few of the time attitude latent profiles suggesting that this type of analysis may be a better way to analyze the relationship of time perspective to other variables (Zimbardo & Boyd, 1999). Persons with a negative temporal profile reported (a) more negative attitudes towards physical exercise, (b) less enjoyment of meals and (c) less vegetable consumption, with effect sizes reaching practical significance. These attitudes and actions are related to higher risks of developing chronic illnesses, so targeting campaigns to individuals with a Negative profile may be a useful public health strategy, assuming that these findings are replicated. One important question to explain is why temporal profiles are associated with vegetable consumption and not with consuming fruit and sugary drinks. One possibility is that vegetables are more difficult to cook, so the preparation of them requires better attitudes towards eating and positive attitudes towards present and future (common to the three positive profiles). An alternative explanation could be that there are different temporal profiles have different associations with adaptive behaviors like healthy food consumption than with less adaptive, and nowadays, less socially desirable behaviors (e.g., sugar consumption).

In addition to replicating profiles found in other studies and cultural contexts (e.g., Alansari et al., 2013; Andretta et al., 2013; Buhl & Lindner, 2009; Cole et al., 2017; Worrell & Andretta, 2019) and thus confirming their generalizability, the findings in the current study confirm that some profiles are more adaptive than others, with the Negative profile being the least adaptive profile of the group. Worrell and Andretta (2019) found that Negatives not only had lower scores on a range of adaptive attitudes such as hope, optimism, and perceived life chances, but Negatives also reported higher hopelessness, and this group perceived more discrimination gender-based, ethnicity/race-based, and income-based discrimination than the positive groups, as well as lower school belonging and more barriers to college. The research to date suggests that Negatives are at risk for a variety of negative outcomes and will benefit from a variety of behavioral and mental health interventions.

As with most research endeavors, this one had several limitations. The main limitation of this study was the representativeness of this sample. Given that almost half of the participants were university students at the time of data collection, they are more educated than the average Uruguayan population, so it is not possible to generalize our results to the larger population. Moreover, as noted before, validity is an ongoing endeavor (AERA, APA, & NCME, 2018). The findings in this study, including effect sizes, need to be replicated, and these effects and other types of validity evidence for AATI-TA scores will need to be collected on other samples (e.g., adolescents, older adults, non-college educated individuals) in Uruguay in future research. Additionally, this study used self-report measures for convergent validity analyses, which may explain why the many of the convergent validity findings involved attitudes. Direct observations of eating behavior and physical activity as well as more robust indicators of outcomes may yield different results.

However, this study highlighted several future lines of research. First, it could be of interest to test to what extent temporal profiles are culture- or language-dependent in other Spanish speaking countries. Second, it could be of interest to broaden the study of the relation of temporal profiles with health behaviors and measure the extent to which those profiles are associated with rates of chronic illness. In studies of associations between time attitudes and other constructs (e.g., psychological wellbeing, educational outcomes), time attitude profiles have been found to have stronger associations than time attitude scores (Worrell & Andretta, 2019). However, this claim cannot be made about health behaviors on the basis of this study; this issue needs to be examined in future research. For instance, further research could use other sources of information and work with clinical populations. Lastly, examining possible precursors of temporal profiles (like for instance, personality traits, negative/ positive affect, etc.) may contribute to our understanding of how these profiles are related to other psychological phenomena. The results suggest that the AATI-TA will be a useful tool for future research.

#### Supplementary Materials

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/SJP.2020.51.

#### References

- Ajzen, L. (2005). *Attitudes, personality, and behavior*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Alansari, M., Worrell, F. C., Rubie-Davies, C., & Webber, M. (2013). Adolescent Time Attitude Scale (ATAS) scores and academic outcomes in secondary school females in New Zealand. *International Journal of Quantitative Research in Education*, 1(3), 251–274. https://doi.org/10.1504/ IJORE.2013.057687
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education. (2018). *Estándares para pruebas educativas y psicológicas* [Standards for educational and psychological testing]. American Educational Research Association.
- Andre, L., van Vianen, A. E. M., Peetsma, T. T. D., & Oort, F. J. (2018). Motivational power of future time perspective: Metaanalyses in education, work, and health. *PLoS ONE*, *13*, Article e0190492. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0190492

- Andretta, J. R., Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2014). Predicting educational outcomes and psychological well-being in adolescents using time attitude profiles. *Psychology in the Schools*, 51(5), 434–451. http://doi.org/10.1002/pits.21762
- Andretta, J. R., Worrell, F. C., Mello, Z. R., Dixson, D. D., & Baik, S. H. (2013). Demographic group differences in adolescents' time attitudes. *Journal of Adolescence*, 36(2), 289–301. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.11.005
- Buhl, M., & Lindner, D. (2009). Time perspectives in adolescence: Measurement, profiles and links with personality characteristics and scholastic experience. *Diskurs Kindheits und Jungendforschung*, 4(2), 197–216.
- Çelik, E., Sahranç, Ü., Kaya, M., & Turan, M. E. (2017). Adolescent time Attitude Scale: Adaptation into Turkish. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 5(2), 249–254. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2017.050210
- Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 9(2), 233–255. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem0902\_5
- Chishima, Y., Murakami, T., Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2019). The Japanese version of the Adolescent Time Inventory-Time Attitudes (ATI-TA) scale: Internal consistency, structural validity, and convergent validity. *Assessment*, 26(2), 181–192. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116683800
- Cole, J. C., Andretta, J. R., & McKay, M. T. (2017). An exploratory examination of the viability and meaningfulness of time attitudes profiles in adults. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 106, 146–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.paid.2016.10.046
- Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M. L., Ainsworth, B. E., Pratt, M., Ekelund, U., Ingve, A., Sallis, J. F., & Oja, P. (2003). International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 35(8), 1381–1395. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB
- Donati, M. A., Boncompagni, J., Scabia, A., Morsanyi, K., & Primi, C. (2019). Using the Adolescent Time Inventory–Time Attitudes (ATI) to assess time attitudes in Italian adolescents and young adults: Psychometric properties and validity. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 43(5), 424–435. https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025418797020
- Ferguson, C. J. (2009). An effect size primer: A guide for clinicians and researchers. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 40(5), 532–538. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015808
- Graham, J. W. (2009). Missing data analysis: Making it work in the real world. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 549–576. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085530
- Joireman, J., Shaffer, M. J., Balliet, D., & Strathman, A. (2012). Promotion orientation explains why future-oriented people exercise and eat healthy: Evidence from the two-factor Consideration of Future Consequences-14 Scale. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(10), 1272–1287. https://doi. org/10.1177/0146167212449362
- Jung, T., & Wickrama, K. A. S. (2008). An introduction to latent class growth analysis and growth mixture modeling. *Social* and Personality Psychology Compass, 2, 302–317. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00054.x
- Juriševič, M., Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2017). Measuring time attitudes in Slovenia: Psychometric properties of the

Adolescent and Adult Time Attitudes Scale (AATI-TA). *Horizons of Psychology*, 26, 89–97. https://doi.org/10.20419/ 2017.26.472

Keough, K. A., Zimbardo, P. G., & Boyd, J. N. (1999). Who's smoking, drinking, and using drugs? Time perspective as a predictor of substance use. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 21(2), 149–164. http://doi.org/10.1207/S15324834BA210207

Konowalczyk, S., Mello, Z. R., Röske, L. A. S., Buhl, M., Heim, R., & Worrell, F. C. (2018). Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory-Time Attitude Scales: Validity and contributions to physical activity and self-concept in Spanish adolescents. *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation,* 7(2), 76–90. https://doi.org/10.1037/ ipp0000084

Lang, F. R., & Carstensen, L. L. (2002). Time counts: Future time perspective, goals, and social relationships. *Psychology and Aging*, *17*(1), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.17.1.125

Marsh, H. W., Hau, K.-T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 11(3), 320–341. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103\_2

McKay, M. T., Andretta, J. R., Cole, J. C., Konowalczyk, S., Wells, K. C., & Worrell, F. C. (2018). Time attitudes profile stability and transitions: An exploratory study on adolescent health behaviors among high school students. *Journal of Adolescence*, 69, 44–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.adolescence.2018.09.002

McKay, M. T., Andretta, J. R., Magee, J., & Worrell, F. C. (2014). What do temporal profiles tell us about adolescent alcohol use? Results from a large sample in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Adolescence*, 37(8), 1319–1328. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2014.09.008

McKay, M. T., Cole, J. C., Percy, A., Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2015). Reliability and factorial validity of Adolescent Time Inventory-Time Attitude (ATI-TA) scores in Scottish and Northern Irish adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 86, 412–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.paid.2015.06.040

McKay, M. T., Perry, J. L., Cole, J. C., & Worrell, F. C. (2018). What time is it? Temporal psychology measures relate differently to alcohol-related health outcomes. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 26(1), 20–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 16066359.2017.1309032

McKay, M. T., Worrell, F. C., Temple, E. C., Perry, J. L., Cole, J. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2015). Less is not always more: The case of the 36-item short form of the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 72, 68–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.08.018

Meade, A. W., Johnson, E. C., & Braddy, P. W. (2008). Power and sensitivity of alternative fit indices in tests of measurement invariance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(3), 568–592. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.3.568

Mello, Z. R., Oladipo, S. E., Paoloni, V. C., & Worrell, F. C. (2019). Time perspective and risky behaviors among Nigerian young adults. *Journal of Adult Development*, 26(3), 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-018-9304-2 Mello, Z. R., & Worrell, F. C. (2007). *The Adolescent Time Inventory-English*. https://faculty.sfsu.edu/sites/default/ files/faculty\_files/2844/AATI\_English\_1%20(1).pdf

Mello, Z. R., Worrell, F. C., Alvarez-Nuñez, L. & Vásquez-Echeverría, A. (2018). *The Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory* – *Rio de la Plata Spanish*. Unpublished scale. Facultad de Psicología, Universidad de la República (Argentina).

Mello, Z. R., Worrell, F. C., Anguiano, R. M., & Mendoza-Denton, R. (2010). *The Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory – Spanish*. https://faculty.sfsu.edu/~zmello/content/ adolescent-and-adult-time-inventory-aati

Mello, Z. R., Zhang, J. W., Barber, S. J., Paoloni, V. C., Howell, R. T., & Worrell, F. C. (2016). Psychometric properties of time attitude scores in young, middle, and older adult samples. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 101, 57–61. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.05.037

Milfont, T. K., Andrade, P. R., Belo, R. P., & Pessoa, V. S. (2008). Testing Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory in a Brazilian sample. *Interamerican Journal of Psychology*, 42(1), 49–58.

Morgan, G. B., Wells, K. E., Andretta, J. R., & McKay, M. T. (2017). Temporal attitudes profile transition among adolescents: A longitudinal examination using mover–stayer latent transition analysis. *Psychological Assessment*, 29(7), 890–901. https://doi.org/10.1037/ pas0000383

Murphy, L., & Dockray, S. (2018). The consideration of future consequences and health behavior: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology Review*, 12, 357–381. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 17437199.2018.1489298

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2017). *Mplus user's guide* (8<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Author.

Şahin-Baltaci, H., Tagay, Ö., Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2017). Psychometric properties of Turkish Adolescent Time Inventory-Time Attitudes (ATI- TA) scores. *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation*, 6(1), 47–59. https://doi.org/10.1037/ipp0000066

Sircova, A., van de Vijver, F. J. R., Osin, E., Milfont, T. L., Fieulaine, N., Kislali-Erginbilgic, A., Zimbardo, P. G., & 54 members of the International Time Perspective Research Project (2014). A global look at time: A 24-country study of the equivalence of the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory. Sage Open, 4(1). http://doi.org/10.1177/2158244013515686

Svetina, D., Rutkowski, L., & Rutkowski, D. (2020). Multiplegroup invariance with categorical outcomes using updated guidelines: An illustration using Mplus and the lavaan/ semTools packages. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 27(1), 111–130. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10705511.2019.1602776

Temple, E., Perry, J. L., Worrell, F. C., Zivkovic, U., Mello, Z. R., Musil, B., Cole, J. C., & McKay, M. T. (2019). The Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory: Time for a new strategy, not more new shortened versions. *Time & Society*, 28 (3), 1167–1180. http://doi.org/10.1177/0961463X17718102

van Beek, J., Antonides, G., & Handgraaf, M. J. J. (2013). Eat now, exercise later: The relation between consideration of immediate and future consequences and healthy behavior. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 54, 785–791. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.12.015 https://apps.who.int/ iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272596/9789241565585-eng. pdf?ua=1

Worrell, F. C., & Andretta, J. R. (2019). Time attitude profiles in American adolescents: Educational and psychological correlates. *Research in Human Development*, *16*(2), 102–118. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2019.1635860

Worrell, F. C., McKay, M. T., & Andretta, J. R. (2018). Psychometric properties of Adolescent Time Inventory-Time Attitude (ATI-TA) scores in three waves of longitudinal data. *Psychological Assessment*, 30(1), 106–115. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/pas0000457

Worrell, F. C., & Mello, Z. R. (2009). Convergent and discriminant validity of time attitude scores on the Adolescent Time Perspective Inventory. *Diskurs Kindheits und Jugendforschung*, 4(2), 185–196.

Worrell, F. C., Mello, Z. R., & Buhl, M. (2013). Introducing English and German versions of the Adolescent Time Attitude Scale (ATAS). *Assessment*, 4(4), 496–510. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1073191110396202

Worrell, F. C., Mello, Z. R., Laghi, F., Baiocco, R., & Lonigro, A. (2020). Time perspective constructs in Albanian and Italian adolescents: Exploratory analyses. *Psychological Reports*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0033294120913493 Worrell, F. C., Merino Soto, C., Mello, Z. R., & Mendoza-Denton, R. (2018, May). *Examining Adolescent and Adult Time Inventory (AATI) scores in Peru* [Poster presentation]. Association for Psychological Science Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, United States.

Worrell, F. C., Temple, E. C., McKay, M. T., Živkovič, U., Perry, J. L., Mello, Z. R., Musil, B., & Cole, J. C. (2018). A theoretical approach to the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory: Results from America, Australia, Slovenia, and the United Kingdom. *European Journal of Psychological* Assessment, 34(1), 41–51. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000313

Wu, H., & Estabrook, R. (2016). Identification of confirmatory factor analysis models of different levels of invariance for ordered categorical outcomes. *Psychometrika*, *81*, 1014–1045. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-016-9506-0

Zimbardo, P. G., Keough, K. A., & Boyd, J. N. (1997). Present time perspective as a predictor of risky driving. *Personality* and Individual Differences, 23(6), 1007–1023. http://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0191-8869(97)00113-X

Zimbardo, P. G., & Boyd, J. N. (1999). Putting time in perspective: A valid, reliable individual-differences metric. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(6), 1271–1288. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.77.6.1271